UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,127	09/25/2006	Akio Sugihara	Q97391	8975
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 05/15/200 5 <b>550</b>	9	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW		RAHMANI, NILOOFAR	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,127	SUGIHARA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NILOOFAR RAHMANI	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeliance Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			oadoo
<ul> <li>(b)</li></ul>	w);	•	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10,12 and 17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The amendment of the claims is New Matter, which can under ODP over Umejima et al., US 2008/0103171 is ma 102(e) over Slatter et al., US 2004/0138253 and Fraser al., US 2005/0239890 is maintained for resean of record	not find support in the specification aintain for reason of record. The rej et al., US 2004/0198822 and Saito	. The rejection of clair ection of claims 10,12	ns 10, 12, 17 2 and 17 under
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).			
13.  Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	/D. Margaret Seaman/		

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20090506